



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,361	11/19/2003	James F. Desmond	P02784	9536
28548	7590	05/02/2006	EXAMINER	
STONEMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD 3113 NORTH 3RD STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85012			TAWFIK, SAMEH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,361	DESMOND, JAMES F.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 16-32,47,53-55,57 and 61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 16-32,47,53-55,57 and 61 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 26 and 29 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 26 and 29 are depending from canceled claim 51. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-32, 47, 53-55, 57, and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 47, line 14; “the at least one second container;” is vague and indefinite as it is not clear what applicant is referring to?;

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25-29, 47, 53, 55, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Borden (U.S. Patent No. 5,918,650).

Borden discloses an adapted element system kit for assisting pouring of a flowable substance from at least one first container comprising at least one first threaded opening to (Fig. 1; via container 22) to at least one second container (Fig. 1; via container 38 and thread 20)

Art Unit: 3721

having at least one second threaded opening (via 36); comprising at least one adapter cap comprising at least one Yorker tip (via 1st mating piece 12); wherein the at least one adapter cap is structured and arranged to connect to the at least one first threaded opening of the at least one first container (Fig. 1; via adapter 12 connected to threaded opening 20); at least one receiving adapter (Fig. 1; via 2nd mating piece 14) comprising at least one access structured and arranged to flowably connect with the at least one Yorker tip of the at least one adapter cap (Fig. 1; via 14 connected with the Yorker tip 12); wherein the at least one receiving adapter comprises at least one threaded port structured and arranged to connect with the at least one second threaded opening of the at least one second container (Fig. 1; via 14 connected to threaded opening 36); “the at least one second container” (via 38); wherein the at least one receiving adapter is structured and arranged so that the flowable substance may be transferred through the adapter cap connected to the at least one receiving adapter directly from the at least one second container (Fig. 1; via flowable substance transferred through 12 to the 14); wherein the at least one second container (38) comprises at least one flexible squeeze tube (note that it is inherent bottle 38 is flexible squeeze tube).

Regarding claims 25 and 55: further comprising at least one o-ring structured and arranged to assist in providing a seal between the at least one receiving adapter cap and the at least one second threaded opening of the at least one second container (Fig. 1; via ribs 30 and 50).

Regarding claim 26: wherein the at least one second container comprises at least one spout (Fig. 1; via portions threaded portion).

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claims 27 and 28: wherein the at least one spout comprises at least one non-spill valve and lanyard, see for example (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 29: wherein the at least one second container comprises at least one flexible bag (Fig. 1; via bottle 38 could be considered as bag/container).

Regarding claim 53: wherein the at least one second container is smaller than the at least one first container (Fig. 1). Note that it is inherent Borden's system is capable of working with second container being smaller than the first container.

Regarding claim 57: the at least one second container comprises at least one empty flexible squeeze tube body portion (via bottle 38 is inherently is squeezable) having at least one first end and at least one second end (it is inherent that such bottle will have first and second ends); wherein the at least one empty flexible squeeze tube body portion is new and unused for containment (inherent before the use the container is new and containment); wherein the at least one first end comprises a reclosable access system wherein the reclosable access system when closed seals such at least one first open end (via by capping the spout of the bottle); and wherein the at least one second end comprises a permanent "linear" tube type seal closure (via the bottom portion of the bottle).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3721

Claims 16-24, 30-32, 54, and 61 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borden (U.S. Patent No. 5,918,650).

Borden does not disclose the receiving adapter element comprises a plurality of receiving adapter elements; second container comprises a plurality of second containers; nor a plurality of squeeze tubes. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Borden's system by having a plurality of receiving adapter elements; second container comprises a plurality of second containers; and a plurality of squeeze tubes, in order to duplicate each part for extra use and/or replacing any damaged part by the customer, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device/part involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claim 23: Borden does not disclose a travel-bag structured and arranged to hold and portably transport the plurality of second containers and the plurality of receiving adapter elements. However, the examiner takes an official notice that such travel bag to container human's needs is known, old, and available in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Borden's system, by having a travel bag to container and hold the containers and adapters, as a matter of engineering design choice, in order to make it convenient carrying the product around.

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claims 19-22: Borden does not disclose plunger syringe comprises catheter syringe with a capacity of about sixty cubic centimeter nor a flexible tube is between about one-eighth inch diameter and about one half inch diameter plastic tubing. However, as applicant did not specifically claim/refer to the use of such syringe along with the adapter element system, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Borden's system by adding syringe to the kit if needed, in order to measure and control the amount of the transfer liquid.

Regarding claim 30: Borden does not disclose that the second container is bag with bottom folded gusset. However, the examiner takes an official notice that such type of bag with bottom folded gusset is old, available, and known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted Borden's second container, by having a bag with bottom folded gusset, as a matter of engineering design choice, in order to make it convenient carrying the product around after transferring the liquid to the second bag.

Regarding claim 31: it is inherent that Borden's system will disclose a set of instructions to advice customers with the best way of using the system.

Regarding claims 54 and 61: Borden does not disclose that the at least one flexible squeeze tube (38) is structured and arranged so that it can be folded substantially flat to expel air from within such flexible squeeze tube. However, the examiner takes an official notice that such flexible squeeze tube being structured and arranged so that it can be folded to expel air from within such flexible squeeze tube is old, available, and known in the art.

Art Unit: 3721

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted Borden's second container, by having a flexible squeeze tube been structured and arranged so that it can be folded substantially flat to expel air from within such flexible squeeze tube, as a matter of engineering design choice, in order to make it convenient carrying the product around after transferring the liquid to the second bag.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sameh H. Tawfik
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3721

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Sameh', followed by a long, horizontal, slightly wavy line that extends to the right.

ST.